

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,829	08/28/2003	Wataru Taki	2936-0194P	6644	
2292	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	NGUYEN, MINH T			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2816		
				DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/649,829	TAKI ET AL.	Am			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	( '			
	Minh Nguyen	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	entember 2005					
•	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7 and 11-18</u> is/are pending in the ap	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6,11-13,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,14,16 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 110(a)	l-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority drider 33 0.3.0. § 119(a)	/-(d) or (i).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •	·	Stane			
application from the International Bureau		74 III UIIO MUUONUI	Clago			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	<del>-</del> -					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/14/05 has been entered.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 7, 14, 16 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 7, lines 2-3, "a voltage synthesizing method" should be changed to -- a voltage synthesizing circuit -- because the claim is about an apparatus.

In claims 14, 16 and 18, the same problems as discussed in claim 7.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 4-6, 11-13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,112,070, issued to Katsuyama et al. in view of Humphries et al (Industrial electronics, Breton Publishers, 1983, chapter 2, page 38) and further in view of the applicants' admitted prior art.

As per claim 4, Katsuyama discloses a frequency conversion apparatus (figure 1), comprising:

a filter (BPF 2A) having a fixed cut-off frequency for restricting a band of a reception signal (filter BPF 2A is fixed, the recited functional limitation is merely the function of a typical filter, BPF 2A receives the reception signal from the antenna ANT 1) so as to selectively pass only a first frequency band component;

an amplifier (LNA 3) for amplifying the reception signal having passed through the filter; a variable filter (variable BPF 4) having a variable cut-off frequency for further restricting the band of the reception signal having been amplified by the amplifier (as shown, the BPF 4 receives the signal from the amplifier LNA 3), so as to cut off at least part of the first frequency band component and thereby to selectively pass only a second frequency band component (this is merely the function of a filter); and

a mixer (MIX 5) for mixing the reception signal having passed through the variable filter with a local oscillation signal (the signal is generated by the VCO 8),

wherein the cut-off frequency of the variable filter being controlled to vary according to a reception channel signal (the selected channel).

Art Unit: 2816

Further, Katsuyama explicitly discloses the variable filter is a variable bandpass filter (BPF 4) which functions as combination functions of a variable lowpass filter and a variable highpass filter serially connected.

Katsuyama does not explicitly disclose (1) the variable filter is built by serially connecting together a variable low-pass filter for selectively passing only a low band component of a signal inputted thereto and a variable high-pass filter for selectively passing only a high band component of a signal inputted thereto, and (2) the amplifier is further used for isolating in addition to amplifying so as the amplifier cuts off reflected waves that fall outside a pass band of the variable filter as called for in the claim.

Humphries explicitly discloses a bandpass filter is merely a combination of a lowpass filter and a highpass filter cascaded (page 38, column 1). In other words, a variable bandpass filter is art recognized equivalent with a variable lowpass filter and a variable highpass filter serially connected.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the Katsuyama's variable bandpass by serially connecting together a variable low-pass filter and a variable high-pass filter. The motivation and/or suggestion would be to allow the user more control of the frequency band of the spectrum by independently controlling the variable lowpass filter and the variable highpass filter.

The applicants' admitted prior art explicitly discloses that a person skilled in the art is well-aware of "back talk" problems in a frequency conversion circuit between the mixer and the input terminal of the circuit, and the solution for such a problem, which is inserting a

Art Unit: 2816

combination of amplification and isolation amplifier between the mixer and the input terminal, is also well-known in the art (figure 10A and paragraph 6 of the present invention).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to replace the Katsuyama's amplifier LNA by a combination of amplification and isolation amplifier as taught by the applicants' admitted prior art. The motivation and/or suggestion would be to reduce the "back talk" problem.

As per claim 5, Katsuyama discloses a frequency conversion apparatus which comprises elements and structure discussed in claim 4 herein above wherein the variable filter is a bandpass filter (BPF 4). Also discussed in claim 4, the bandpass filter is built using a variable low-pass filter and a variable high-pass filter serially connected. Further, Katsuyama teaches the frequency conversion apparatus is used as a tuner in mobile communication (the title).

Katsuyama does not explicitly disclose the variable filter is a highpass filter as called for in the claim.

However, as known by a person having an average skill in the art, when more channels are available, the frequency band of the variable bandpass filter must be made wider to accommodate more channels. When the frequency band is required to be much wider, the existing of a lowpass filter has little meaning. In this situation, eliminating the variable lowpass filter would result in cost saving without severely effecting the performance of the tuner.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to eliminate the variable lowpass filter in the frequency conversion apparatus discussed in claim 4. The motivation and/or suggestion would be to reduce the cost of the implementation.

Art Unit: 2816

As per claim 6, the recited limitation is shown in figure 1, i.e., the PLL circuit 9 controls the variable filter BPF 4 and the local oscillator VCO 8.

As per claims 11-12, these claims are merely methods to operate the circuits having the structures discussed in claims 4-5, respectively. Since the structures discussed in claims 4-5 are disclosed, the methods to operate are seen as obvious.

As per claims 13, 15, 17, these claims are rejected for the same reason noted in claim 6.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7, 14, 16 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 7 is allowable because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the inclusion of a voltage synthesizing circuit which is used to control the cut-off frequency of the variable filter using a plurality of predetermined voltages.

Claims 14, 16 and 18 are allowable for the same reason noted in claim 7.

Art Unit: 2816

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1748. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/13/05

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816